wo

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	V.		ORD	ER	OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	Jose Alfredo Lugo-Castro	Case Num	ber:	1	1-6169M	
and was rep					held on April 19, 2011. Defendant was presen defendant is a flight risk and order the detention	
		FINDINGS OF FACT				
find by a pr	reponderance of the evidence that:					
X	The defendant is not a citizen of the	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.				
X	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.					
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Custor Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deport or otherwise removed.					
	The defendant has no significant	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.				
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.					
X	The defendant has a prior criminal history.					
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.					
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.					
	There is a record of the defendant	using numerous aliases.				
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.					
	The defendant is facing a maximu	m of	\	yea	rs imprisonment.	
The at the time o	Court incorporates by reference the m f the hearing in this matter, except as	aterial findings of the Pret noted in the record. CONCLUSIONS OF LA		Servi	ces Agency which were reviewed by the Cour	
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the de No condition or combination of co	fendant will flee.	ssure	e the	e appearance of the defendant as required.	
a corrections appeal. The of the United	defendant is committed to the custody s facility separate, to the extent practical defendant shall be afforded a reasonal d States or on request of an attorney for the United States Marshal for the pure	of the Attorney General of the Attorney General of the Attorney of the Attorne	or his g or s cons son i con	s/he servi sulta in ch inec	r designated representative for confinement in ing sentences or being held in custody pending ation with defense counsel. On order of a coun narge of the corrections facility shall deliver the tion with a court proceeding.	
IT IS deliver a cop Court.	SORDERED that should an appeal of	this detention order be file	d wit	th th	e District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to e day prior to the hearing set before the Distric	
IT IS Services suf	S FURTHER ORDERED that if a releas fficiently in advance of the hearing be he potential third party custodian.	e to a third party is to be co fore the District Court to a	onsid allow	dere Pre	ed, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria etrial Services an opportunity to interview and	
DA	TED this 20 th day of April, 20	11.				
	,	San				

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge